

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

MICHAEL L. SCOTT,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	Civil Action No. 5:05-3007-TLW-KDW
	)	
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, COMMISSIONER	)	
OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
_____	)	

**ORDER**

On October 21, 2005, Plaintiff brought this action pursuant to 205(g) of the Social Security Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), to obtain judicial review of a final decision of the Defendant, Commissioner of Social Security, denying his claim for disability benefits. (Doc. # 1). The matter is before this Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by United States Magistrate Judge Kaymani D. West, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2)(a), (D.S.C.). In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the action be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). (Doc. # 29). Objections were due on March 15, 2012. Plaintiff has filed no objections.

The Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that Report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is **ACCEPTED**. (Doc. # 29). The action is therefore **DISMISSED** pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/Terry L. Wooten  
United States District Judge

March 22, 2012  
Florence, South Carolina